$91 \%$ over two steps $),[\alpha]^{26}+47.0^{\circ}\left(c=0.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
The Diels-Alder reaction of diene 7 with 3 -( $p$-toluenesulfonyl)propiolic acid ${ }^{12}$ (3 equiv) proceeded with position specificity at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h to give an excellent yield ( $>95 \%$ ) of adduct 8 and the $\mathrm{C}(14)$ diastereomer in a ratio of $3: 1$. After epoxidation of the mixture (anhydrous $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ containing $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h ) and sgc with $2: 1$ hex-ane-ether the pure epoxide 9 was obtained in $61-65 \%$ yield overall from diene 7. ${ }^{13}$ The $p$-toluenesulfonyl group of 9 was replaced by tributylstannyl by heating with 3 equiv of tri-n-butyltin hydride with a catalytic amount of azoisobutyronitrile as a free radical initiator in toluene at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h to give vinylstannane 10 ( $84 \%$ ). Coupling of 10 with vinyl triflate $11^{14}$ was accomplished by heating with 0.07 equiv of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (but not $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ reagents) and 0.14 equiv of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in THF at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min to provide 12 in $66 \%$ yield. Carbonyl reduction ( $\mathrm{NaHB}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, THF, 8 h ), chloroacetylation (chloroacetic anhydride and pyridine in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min ), and desilylation (1 equiv of $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCOOH}$ in $10: 1 \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h ) transformed 12 into hydroxy diene 13 ( $82 \%$ overall). Reaction of 13 with mercuric trifluoroacetate -HgO in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h followed by treatment with $\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ and sgc effected internal oxymercuration to give a single bridged ether chloromercurial ( $78 \%$ ) which underwent the required demercuration reaction with $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SnH}_{2}$ (but not $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ ) in toluene at -78 to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(81 \%)$; chloroacetate cleavage with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}-$ methanol at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and oxidation (pyridinium dichromate in DMF at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 $\min$ ) provided keto ether $14(92 \%$, oil $),[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}=24.5^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}=0.1$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). Reaction of 14 in $10: 1 \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with 1.1 equiv of anhydrous $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h gave after sgc purification the rearranged acetate $15(83 \%$, oil $),[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{D}-20.5^{\circ}$ $\left.\left(c=1.6, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right)^{16}$ Transformation of 15 to glycinoeclepin was effected by the following sequence: (1) desilylation with HF in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ buffered with excess pyridine for 45 min at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (2) oxidation of primary hydroxyl to formyl with pyridinium chlorochromate $-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , and (3) oxidation of formyl to carboxyl with sodium chlorite- $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in $t$ -$\mathrm{BuOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in the presence of 2 -methyl-2-butene (as chlorine scavenger) to give after reaction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ acetyl glycinoeclepin dimethyl ester (oil, $63 \%$ overall), $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}$ $-41.1^{\circ}\left(c=0.36, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. Saponification of acetyl glycinoeclepin mono- or dimethyl ester with $1: 1$ dimethoxyethane-1 M aqueous lithium hydroxide at $46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h afforded glycinoeclepin A (1) $(68 \%)$. Synthetic 1 was converted to the $p$-bromophenacyl ester for comparison with an authentic sample. ${ }^{17}$ The synthetic and authentic samples were identical by HPLC, MS, IR, 500$\mathrm{MHz}^{\text {' }} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and optical rotation measurements.

The synthesis reported herein is considerably shorter and simpler than those previously reported and has the potential to provide adequate amounts of $\mathbf{1}$ for further research. Noteworthy steps in the synthesis include the enantioselective Michael reaction of 2 and 3 a and the conversions $7 \rightarrow 8,8 \rightarrow 9$, and $14 \rightarrow \mathbf{1 5}$. In addition, it should be noted that the coupling reaction, $10+11$ $\rightarrow$ 12, which did not occur with Stille's conditions ( $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ reagents), is unusual and probably occurs by replacement of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ in $\mathbf{1 0}$

[^0]by XPd and a subsequent Heck-type reaction. ${ }^{18}$
Supplementary Material Available: Full spectral data on compounds 1 and 4-15 as well as other synthetic intermediates (13 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.
(18) This research was assisted financially by grants from the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health.

## The Large Range of $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ Quadruple Bond Distances: Structural and Theoretical Analysis
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Two aspects of the beautiful conceptual structure of metalmetal multiple bonding ${ }^{1}$ remain puzzling; the large variability of the supershort $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ quadruple bonds, and their response to axial ligation. We present a simple explanation of both phenomena here.

The essential geometrical features of the $\mathrm{LX}_{4} \mathrm{MMX}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ system are defined in 1. We focus on five geometrical parameters: the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{L}$, and $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ distances; the "pyramidality" of the $\mathrm{MX}_{4}$ group, defined by the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ angle $\alpha$; and the nonbonded $\mathrm{X} . . . \mathrm{X}$ distance, which we will call $b$. In many of the known compounds the latter is fixed as part of a bidentate ligand.


Previous efforts to understand the bond-length variations in the system have focused on the distance to the axial ligands L. But look at Figure 1, a plot of the $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ separation as a function of the pyramidality angle $\alpha$, for 40 quadruply bonded systems with two, one, or no axial ligands. ${ }^{2,3}$ The straight line through these

[^1]

Figure 1. Experimental $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ bond distances and average pyramidality angles $\alpha$ (1) for 40 Cr (II) dinuclear complexes with two (squares), one (triangles), or no (open circles) axial ligands ${ }^{2}$ and theoretical results (filled circles) from previous work by Davy and Hall. ${ }^{8}$ The straight line $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}=8.0588-0.0646 \alpha$ ) is a least-squares fit of the 40 experimental points.
points has a correlation coefficient $r^{2}=0.995$.
It is pretty clear that the $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ distance follows the pyramidality over a very large range of distances. Why? In the classical picture of quadruple bonding one has a $\sigma^{2} \pi^{4} \delta^{2}$ configuration. Pyramidalization affects the orbitals involved in the $\sigma$ and $\pi$ components of the quadruple bond. In a square-planar $\mathrm{ML}_{4}(\alpha$ $=90^{\circ}$ ) complex these are composed of pure metal $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{x z}$, $\mathrm{d}_{y z}$, respectively, assuming no $\pi$ bonding with X , as shown in 2 . Upon departure from fragment $D_{4 h}$ to $C_{4 c}$ symmetry, well-understood mixing (hybridization) ${ }^{9}$ with metal $\mathrm{p}_{x, y, z}$ orbitals occurs, as indicated on the right side of $\mathbf{2}$. The net result is stronger $\sigma$ and $\pi$ components of the quadruple bond as $\alpha$ increases from $90^{\circ}$. Extended Hückel calculations bear this out.

$\Longrightarrow$


 $\Longrightarrow$
 2

Addition of an axial ligand should induce, sterically, a decrease in the pyramidality angle $\alpha$, in the direction of recovering a pseudooctahedral geometry around the Cr atom. ${ }^{10}$ Therefore, a direct consequence of the addition of axial ligands should be a weakening of both the $\sigma$ and $\pi$ components of the M-M bond.

It would seem as if we have a clear explanation of the large range of bond lengths in these molecules; it is the pyramidality

[^2]Table I. Least-Squares Parameters for the Equation $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}=b+2 c$ $\cos \alpha$, for Several Families of Dinuclear Complexes

| metal | ligands | bond <br> order | $b$ | $2 c$ | no. of <br> compds |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cr | chelates | 4 | 2.241 | 3.709 | 40 |
| Mo | chelates | 4 | 2.157 | 1.739 | 50 |
| W | chelates | 4 | 2.222 | 1.922 | 26 |
| Mo | phosphines | 4 | 2.161 | 0.101 | 10 |
| Re | halides | 4 | 2.344 | 0.474 | 29 |
| Re | diphosphines | 3 | 2.331 | 0.297 | 9 |
| Os | carboxylates | 4 | 2.329 | 0.511 | 18 |

at each center that determines the bond length. But one has to look at certain geometrical constraints operative.

All of the molecules in the correlation of Figure 1 have chelating, bridging carboxylato, amidinato, and related ligands. They have a reasonably similar bite size X ... X, around $2.2 \AA$. In that case the geometrical relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{X} \cdots \mathrm{X}+2(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}) \cos \alpha \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Suppose a linear fit, $\mathbf{M}-\mathbf{M}=b+2 c \cos \alpha$, is found empirically, as indeed it is in Table I. For constant X...X and $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ in eq 1 , that is just what one would expect, and no causal relationship between $\alpha$ and $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}$ could be drawn. In fact if we fit the available data, $b=2.241 \AA$ and $c=1.854 \AA$, which are reasonable (the latter a little short) values for $\mathrm{X} \cdots \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ distances.

So how can we then establish a case for the causal primacy of the pyramidality $\alpha$ ? One possibility is by seeking such $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M} / \alpha$ correlations across the range of metals; a second is examining unbridged complexes.

Unfortunately, unsupported metal-metal bonded Cr (II) compounds of this type are elusive. Only one is known, with a macrocyclic tetradentate ligand, $[(\mathrm{tmtaa}) \mathrm{Cr}]_{2} .{ }^{11}$ This has $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ $=2.10 \AA$ at $\alpha=105^{\circ}$, a point that is obviously way off our line. We think that repulsions between the macrocycles simply forbid a short $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cr}$ separation. If the tmtaa were planar, the van der Waals minimum between two such ligands would fix their approach to $3.0-3.5 \AA$; the shortest metal-metal distance such tetradentate ligands accommodate in other dimers ${ }^{12}$ is $3.06 \AA .^{13}$ One will have to look for other compounds.

More convincing at this time is the information in Table I. There we summarize structural information on several families of triply and quadruply bonded metal complexes, obtained with the help of the Cambridge Structural Database. ${ }^{14}$ The following conclusions can be drawn from such data: (a) A strong dependence of $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}$ on the average value of $\alpha$ (indicated by the slope in the regression equation, $2 c$ ) is found for the carboxylates and analogous compounds of Cr , Mo, and W ; (b) for families of compounds of Re and Os with nonbridging ligands, the dependence on $\alpha$ is smaller but still significant, while phosphine complexes of Mo (II) are almost insensitive to changes in $\alpha$; (c) the fact that the same trend is found for complexes with triple and quadruple metal-metal bonds (Table I) is consistent with the insensitivity of the $\delta$ bond to pyramidalization. ${ }^{10}$ (d) The slope $2 c$ should be equal to $2(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X})$ if the geometrical relationship of eq 1 holds. As we noted above, $c \sim \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ for Cr . But for all the other compounds $c$ is obviously less than $M-X$. We take this as evidence that $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}$ depends on $\alpha$ more fundamentally than through the geometric constraint.

[^3]It is noteworthy that a similar relationship in carbon-carbon bond distances has been found for the family of ethane derivatives, both experimentally and computationally. ${ }^{15}$

In summary, both experimental and theoretical data indicate that there is a correlation between the pyramidality angle and the metal-metal bond distance. Bonding of axial ligands has several effects: competition with the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M} \sigma$ bond to be sure, but also steric repulsion of the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds, which then induces smaller values of $\alpha$. The small $\alpha$ values, in turn, weaken the M-M bond. This effect may be enhanced by the steric demands of rigid bridging ligands. The interplay of steric and electronic effects in this system is intricate and intriguing.
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Synthetic oligonucleotides are currently attracting considerable attention not only as probes for molecular biology ${ }^{2}$ but also as potential therapeutics. ${ }^{3}$ For example, oligonucleotides with modified backbones ${ }^{4}$ may be used as "antisense" agents to inhibit

[^4]Phosphate

a
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Figure 1. Structurally and/or electronically similar internucleotide linkages: (a) normal phosphate, (b) boranophosphate (borane phosphonate), (c) methylphosphonate, (d) phosphorothioate, (e) phosphotriester, and (f) boranophosphate methyl ester.

Scheme I

or control growth of viruses as well as to specifically control the expression of oncogenes or other genes associated with various genetic disorders. Several modifications of the phosphate backbone (see, for example, Figure $1 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ ) have been carried out ${ }^{\text {ta-e }}$ and the modified oligonucleotides have been shown ${ }^{5}$ to inhibit the growth of viruses (such as HIV, HSV, etc.) and expression of oncogenes (e.g., c-myc, c-mos).

We now report the first examples of two types of oligonucleotides with a boronated internucleotide backbone: the boranophosphates (Figure 1b) and boranophosphate methyl esters (Figure 1f). The boranophosphate species is very closely related to the normal oxygen oligonucleotides (O-oligos, Figure 1a) and the oligonucleotide methylphosphonates (Figure 1c). The boranophosphate methyl esters on the other hand are closely related

[^5]
[^0]:    (12) Corey, E. J.; Jardine, P. D. S.; Rohloff, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988. 110, 3672-3673.
    (13) The stereochemistry of the epoxidation reaction was established by chemical studies involving lactonization of the carboxylic acid corresponding to the BPS ether 9 as well as by the successful conversion to 1 .
    (14) Vinyl triflate 11 was prepared enantioselectively and in excellent yields from 2,2 -dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione by the foliowing sequence: (1) reduction with Baker's yeast ${ }^{2 a, b}$ or reduction at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene with catechol borane in the presence of a catalytic amount of the oxazaborolidine from ( $R$ )-2-(diphenylhydroxymethyl)pyrrolidine and $n$-butylboronic acid: ${ }^{15}$ (2) silylation with triethylsilyl chloride (TESCI)-imidazole in DMF at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (3) formylation (HCOOEt, NaH, THF); and (4) reaction with $\mathrm{NaH}-\mathrm{THF}$ at 23 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cooling to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and triflate formation with $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{NPh}$.
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